DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 057 433 EA 003 810

TITLE	Merit Rating. Bibliographies in Education No. 21.
INSTITUTION	Canadian Teachers' Federation, Ottawa (Ontario).
REPORT NO	C-71105
PUB DATE	May 71
NOTE	14p.
EDRS PRICE	MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS	*Bibliographies; *Educational Research; *Merit Rating Programs; *Teacher Rating; *Teacher Salaries

ABSTRACT

This bibliography includes literature that has appeared within the last ten years. One hundred and fifty-six citations are grouped by (1) books and papers, (2) articles and excerpts from books, and (3) theses. (MLF)

ED057433

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

SEP 21 1971

C-71105

BIBLIOGRAPHIES IN EDUCATION

No. 21

MERIT RATING

May 1971

EA 003 810

CANADIAN TEACHERS' FEDERATION
320 QUEEN STREET, OTTAWA 4, ONTARIO

ED057433

MERIT RATING

Sources consulted in preparing this bibliography include the British Education Index (The Library Association), Canadiana (National Library), Canadian Education Index (CCRE), the Cumulative Book Index (Wilson's), the Current Index to Journals in Education (CCM), the Directory of Education Studies in Canada (CEA), the Education Index (Wilson's), Education Studies Completed in Canadian Universities (CEA), Research in Education (ERIC), and Research Studies in Education (Phi Delta Kappa). Other material in the CTF Library was also consulted. The period covered is approximately ten years.

Abstracts of material with an ED number may be found in Research in Education. If marked "Available ERIC," hard copy or microfiche copies may be purchased through the Education Document Reproduction Service of the ERIC system.

Material available from the CTF Library is asterisked.

C O N T E N T S

Books and Papers..... 3

Articles and Excerpts from Books..... 5

Theses..... 11

A. Books and Papers

1. Anthony, Bobbie M. A New Approach to Merit Rating of Teachers. Chicago: Midwest Administration Center, University of Chicago, 1968. 4 p. Available ERIC. ED 027 627.

*2. Bhaerman, Robert D. Several Educators' Cure for the Common Cold, Among Other Things, or One Unionist View of Staff Differentiation - Quest Paper No. 7. Washington: American Federation of Teachers, 1969. 13 p. Available ERIC. ED 029 825.

3. Brighton, Stayner F., and Cecil J. Hannan. Merit Pay Programs for Teachers: A Handbook. San Francisco: Fearon Publishers, 1962. 56 p.

*4. Educational Research Service, American Association of School Administrators and Research Division, National Education Association. Evaluating Teaching Performance. Washington: the Service, 1969. 62 p.

*5. Manitoba Teachers' Society. Special Report: Merit Rating. Reprinted from The Manitoba Teacher. N-D'59.

*6. Massachusetts Teachers Association. The Arguments on Merit Rating. Boston: the Association, 1961. 5 p.

*7. Massachusetts Teachers Association. Some Guiding Principles for the Development and Successful Operation of a Merit Salary Plan. Boston: the Association, 1961. 2 p.

*8. McDowell, Stirling. Merit Rating: A Survey of Some of the Literature. Saskatoon: Saskatchewan Teachers' Federation, 1956. 40 p.

*9. McDowell, Stirling. The Merit Rating Controversy and Its Implications for Education in Saskatchewan. Saskatoon: Saskatchewan Teachers' Federation, 1963. 121 p.

*10. "Merit Rating" for Teachers? Report on Workshop on Merit Rating in Teachers' Salary Schedules. Edited by Virgil M. Rogers. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1959. 79 p.

*11. Minnesota Education Association. Merit Rating: Facts and Issues. St. Paul: the Association, 1958. 47 p.

*12. National Education Association, Research Division. Evaluation of Classroom Teachers. Washington: the Association, 1964. 125 p.

13. National Education Association, Research Division. Merit Provisions in Teachers' Salary Schedules 1969-70. Washington: the Association, 1970. 9 p.

14. National Education Association, Research Division. Merit Ratings in Business and Industry: Fact or Fancy. Research Memo 1964-6. Washington: the Association, 1964. 9 p.

*15. National Education Association, Research Division. Quality-of-Service Provisions in Salary Schedules 1958-59. Washington: the Association, 1959. 48 p.

*16. National Education Association, Research Division. Reasons Given for Abandoning Merit Rating Provisions from Teacher Salary Schedules. Washington: the Association, 1958. 5 p.

17. National Education Association, Research Division. Lack of Relationship Between Principals' Evaluation of Teachers and Ratio of Learning by Pupils of Those Teachers. Research Memo 1965-S2. Washington: the Association, 1965. 2 p.

18. National Education Association, Research Division. Report of Merit Study Committee in Public Schools in Hamden, Connecticut. Research Memo 1965-7. Washington: the Association, 1965. 7 p.

*19. National Education Association, Research Division. Salary Schedules for Classroom Teachers, 1968-69. Washington: the Association, 1968. 123 p.

*20. National Education Association, Research Division. Selected References on Merit Salary Schedules. Washington: the Association, 1958. 10 p.

*21. National Education Association, Research Division. Superior-Service Maximums in Teachers' Salary Schedules, 1956-57. Washington: the Association, 1957. 23 p.

22. National Education Association, Research Division. Why Have Merit Plans for Teachers' Salaries Been Abandoned? Research Report 1961-R3. Washington: the Association, 1961. 51 p.

*23. New Jersey Education Association. Merit Rating. Research Bulletin 63-1. Trenton: the Association, 1963.

*24. New Jersey Education Association. Sample Teacher Evaluation Forms. Circular No. 88. Supplement to NJEA "Merit Rating" Bulletin No. 63-1. Trenton: the Association, 1963.

*25. New York State Teachers Association. Teacher Merit and Teacher Salary. Albany, N.Y.: the Association, 1957. 72 p.

26. North Carolina State Department of Public Instruction. The North Carolina Teacher Merit Pay Study: a Four-Year Experimental Study in Three Pilot Centers: Gastonia, Martin County, Rowan County. Raleigh: the Department, 1965. 147 p.

27. Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation. Provincial Salary Committee. Report of the Committee to Study Merit Pay. Toronto: the Federation, August, 1969.

*28. Oregon Education Association. The Status of Merit Pay in Oregon School Districts. Research Memo No. 4. Portland: the Association, 1961. 7 p.

*29. Progress Report of the Merit Study of the Provo City Schools. Provo City, Utah: Board of Education, 1958. 226 p.

*30. Tiedeman, David V. (ed.). Teacher Competence and Its Relation to Salary. Cambridge, Mass.: New England School Development Council, 1956. 110 p.

*31. University of Pennsylvania, Educational Service Bureau. Teacher Opinion About Merit Rating. Philadelphia: the University, 1958. 36 p.

*32. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. Office of Education. Merit Salary Programs in Six Selected School Districts. Bulletin 1963, No. 5. Washington: Office of Education, 1962. 63 p.

*33. Wisconsin Education Association. Merit Pay in Wisconsin 1961. Madison: the Association, 1961. 28 p.

*34. World Confederation of Organizations of the Teaching Profession. Merit Rating in Relation to Teachers Pay. Washington: WCOTP, C. 1961. 34 p.

35. Young, Lloyd P. The Administration of Merit-Type Teachers' Salary Schedules. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1944. 104 p.

B. Articles and Excerpts from Books

*1. Anthony, Bobbie M. A new approach to merit rating of teachers. Administrator's Ntbk 17:1-4 S'68.

*2. Arbuckle, Dugald S. What course for the teacher? Professional or technician. Clearing House 42:3-8 S'67.

*3. Assessing teaching competence. Teach Mag 42:5-6 F'62.

4. Beehler, E. F. Voluntary merit pay plan. Clearing House 40:23-6 S'65.

5. Bell, T. H. Twenty keys to successful merit rating. Am Sch Bd J 146:13-14 Mr'63.

*6. Bennett, M. Matter of merit. Phi Delta Kappan 46:225-6 Ja'65. Same condition Ed Digest 30:32-4 Mr'65.

*7. Berryman, I. Listening with a pencil to what several people think about merit rating (interview). Ed Courier 37:39-40 Mr-Ap'67.

8. Booth, J. More on merit rating. Mont Ed 38:10 S'61.

9. Breakthrough in teacher pay. Sch Mgt 5:38-41 Jl'61.

*10. Bride, K. W. Teachers are concerned about rating schemes. ATA News 5:2 Mr 3'71.

11. Calvin, A. Let's reward good teachers. Ed Tech 9:97-8 O'69.

12. Chaplin, Wayne. Merit pay is alive and well and thriving in Hartford, Wisconsin. Am Sch Bd J 157:13,14,32 Jl'69.

*13. Clement, Stanley L. Why is it? Teachers shun evaluation. Clearing House 42:465-467 Ap'68.

*14. Coleman, P. Invisible teacher. BC Teach 49:198-201 F'70. Same condition OSSTF Bul 50:185-7 My'70.

*15. Coleman, P. Whatever happened to merit pay? . . . a new approach. BC Sch Trust 26:20-3 '70. (No. 1, building).

16. Combs, L. Merit pay for quality teaching. Ky Sch J 40:21 F'62.

*17. Dair, C. Merit rating has failed to solve the problem of quality. Mon Morn 2:9 D'67.

*18. Dalsto, R. Alan. OSSTF -- a federation at the crossroads. OSSTF Bul 48:147-55 My'68.

19. Davis, L. Merit pay plan for smaller school districts. Sch Mgt 5:86-8 O'61.

*20. Dwyer, Kay. She tried hard for an A but all she could get was a C. Ed Courier 32:12-14, 73-75.

21. Dyson, E. Educational excellence and the merit salary principle. Clearing House 39:131-6 N'64.

22. Extra money for merit. Times Ed Sup 2715:1870 Je 2'67.

23. Filbin, R. L. Merit salary; a realistic approach to upgrading the teaching profession. Am Sch Bd J 150:11-12 Ap'65.

*24. Flower, George E. Will-o'-the-wisp assessments of teaching performance. McGill J Ed 2:3-8 Spring'67.

25. Garner, C. Merit pay should rate, not rank. Nations Sch 80:46 N'67.

26. Gerber, I. In defense of a merit system. H Points 46:62-3 Mr'64.

*27. Gerrish, R. What are the merits (if any) of merit pay? Sch Admin 5:18-19 F'68.

28. Gragg, W. L. Suspicions about merit pay. Ed Exec Overview 4:58+ F'63.

*29. Harris, Jerome W. Salary differentiation is a must. Sch Mgt 13:6 Je'69.

*30. Here's a short answer to would-be merit raters: teaching is neither a business nor an industry -- but there are sound ways to promote excellence. Ed Courier 37:11-12 Mr-Ap'67.

31. Isaacs, U. Merit rating study in Glasgow. Mont Ed 39:21-2 Ap'63.

32. Johnson, C. W. New vistas in principal-faculty relationships: growth and incentive plan for teachers. NASSP Bul 46:63-5 S'62.

33. Kingston, A. J. and H. W. Gentry. Criteria which teachers believe should be evaluated in merit rating. Peabody J Ed 41:338-42 My'64.

*34. Kleinmann, J. H. Merit pay, the big question. NEA J 52:42-4 My'63.

*35. Link, F. R. Merit rating: have the issues changed? Ed Lead 22:322-6 F'65.

*36. Lucow, William H. The equivalence of random groups as an elusive factor in the merit rating of teachers. Can Ed Res Digest 4:107-12 Je'64.

*37. Haluschak, J. S. Merit pay for teachers . . . but how? ATA Mag 48:38-9 Mr-Ap'68.

38. Hanson, E. H. More merit salary schedules are coming? Ed 86:382 F'66.

39. Hellmann, W. H. Merit theme with variations. Am Sch Bd J 143:9 O'61.

40. Himmelberger, W. New approach to merit. NASSP Bul 45:12-15 O'61.

*41. Hoar, G. W. Community service and nonschool activities replace merit rating. Nations Sch 74:32 J1'64.

42. How they handle merit pay; three case studies. J. B. Geissinger; E. K. Chace; R. V. Reed. Sch Mgt 5:54-7 Je'61.

43. How to pay your best teachers what they're worth. Sch Mgt 9:86-9 D'65.

*44. Hutchinson, T. The Cranbrook experiment. BC Teach 39:282-285 Mr'59.

*45. Jackson, T. H. G. Merit pay. Teach Mag 42:17-18, 20 D'61.

*46. Johnson, K. What research says about merit rating. Ed Courier 37:36-9 Mr-Ap'67.

*47. Katz, Sidney. Teachers' pay: the next fighting issue in our schools. Maclean's pp. 15, 62-68 N 18'61.

*48. Machum, Lloyd. Merit rating for pay purposes. Ed R 74:9-13 Mr'60.

*49. Mahdesian, Zaven M. But what's so bad about the old lockstep pay schedules that treat everybody alike? A traditionalist gets a word in. Am Sch Bd J 157:24 My'70.

50. Martin, David W. Urbanization and media: a new sense of community? Ed Tech 10:37-45 O'70.

*51. Martin, L. Dorothy. Merit rating. FWTAO Newsletter (Special issue c. F'62). 6 p.

52. Mason, J. I. How to rescue a merit pay plan. Sch Mgt 8:61-5+ O'64.

53. Mason, J. I. Second look at merit. Am Sch Bd J 148:17-18 Ap'64.

*54. McConaghy, T. W. Merit rating and its consequences. OSSTF Bul 41:419-20, 445-46 D'61.

*55. McKeown, L. W. On merit rating. Phi Delta Kappan 48:77 O'66.

56. McPhail, James H. Teacher evaluation -- a state-by-state analysis. Southern J Ed R 1:333-56 O'67. Available ERIC. ED 014 449.

57. Megel, C. J. Merit rating. Am Teach Mag 46:3+ Ap'62.

*58. Merit pay, pp. 65-71 in Miller, W. C., and David N. Newbury. Teacher Negotiations: A Guide for Bargaining Teams. West Nyack, N.Y.: Parker Publishing Company, 1970. 255 p.

*59. Merit pay for teachers -- the BCTF stand. BC Teach 42:12-14 S-O'62.

*60. Merit pay -- or performance evaluation? BC Teach 43:9-10, 33 S-O'63.

61. Merit pay sounds better than it works. Nations Sch 79:82+ F'67.

*62. Merit rating is a way to get everybody off the track. Ed Courier 32:10-11, 75-79 Ja'62.

*63. Merit rating -- with respect to pay and tenure of teachers. OECTA R 28:48-50 D'68.

64. Merit salary schedules for teachers. Tex Outl 48:27-30 D'64.

*65. Michael, C. B. Teachers' attitudes toward merit rating as a function of conflict of interest. J Teach Ed 15:210-18 Je'64.

66. Moffitt, J. C. Differences in teaching: can they be recognized and compensated? Nat El Prin 43:54-6 N'63.

*67. Morris, Derek V. A handy reference for merit pay. CSA Bul 2:27-32 D'62.

68. National Education Association, Research Division. Why few school systems use merit ratings. Ed Digest 27:37-9 O'61.

*69. New approach to merit salaries: Society of academic teachers. Phi Delta Kappan 46:25 S'64.

70. Olsen, J. Case against merit pay. H Points 45:48-53 Ap'63.

71. Opsahl, Robert L., and Marvin D. Dunnette. The role of financial compensation in industrial motivation. Psych Bul 66, no. 2, 1966.

*72. PAPT policy on merit pay. Teach Mag 44:22-3 Ja'63.

73. Patterson, W. N. Teacher-ranking: a step toward professionalism. Ed Forum 33:169-73 Ja'69.

*74. Pay for merit. Ont Ed 1:4 J1-A'69.

75. Paying teachers (reprint). Catholic Trust 8:24-5 F'68.

*76. Pipe dream known as merit rating (comment). Ed Courier 39:17-18 My-Je'69.

*77. Preliminary report on merit pay, pp. 18-38 in Quality Teaching Study. Toronto: Metro Toronto School Board, 1969.

*78. Quality-of-service provisions in 1961-62 salary policies. NEA Res Bul 40:89-92 O'62.

79. Rehage, K. J. On merit increases. El Sch J 62:61-2 N'61.

80. Reiels, J. O. Approach to merit rating. Am Sch Bd J 144:14-15 Mr'62.

81. Rigdon, E. K. That ugly ogre, merit pay. Clearing House 39:117 O'64.

*82. Robb, I. M. The philosopher's stone on education. OSSTF Bul 47:81-5 Mr'67.

83. Rosen, Hjalmar. Occupational motivation of research and development personnel. Personnel Admin 26, no. 2, 1963.

*84. Siler, R. Merit rating of teachers. SCAT Bul 9:43-53 Je'70.

*85. Steffensen, J. P. Teacher evaluation and salary policy. Sch Life 44:22-4 O'61.

*86. Stewart, J. D. Question of merit pay. OSSTF Bul 47:460-5 D'67.

*87. STF considering legal action on merit clause. Regina Leader Post D 21'70.

88. Teacher evaluation: a straight merit pay plan in Barrington, Ill. Ed Digest 33:15-17 Ap'68.

89. Teacher pay: how and why merit came to Marblehead: interview with A. W. Coleman. Sch Mgt 8:84-7 Ap'64.

*90. Teachers and individual merit. Can Sch J 44:8 Ja-F'66.

91. Teachers held accountable for student learning in RELCV experiment. Automated Ed Lett 5:3-5 Mr'70.

92. Tompkins, V. E. Let's stop all this fuss about merit rating. Clearing House 37:72-6 O'62.

*93. Wagoner, Roderic L. The case for competition. Clearing House 44:110-14 O'69.

94. Weissman, R. Merit pay. Wis J Ed 101:6+ D'68; 11-13+ Ja'69.

95. Weissman, R. Merit pay. Ill Ed 58:73-6: 108-10+ O-N'69.

96. Weissman, R. Merit pay -- what merit? Ed Digest 34:16-19 My'69.

*97. Wilking, S. V. Merit pay and better teaching. Teach Col Rec 63:297-304 Ja'62.

98. Willey, D. S., and S. E. Burks. Some factors pertaining to merit salary planning. Teach Col J 33:72 D'61.

*99. Wilson, Lois J., Blanche W. Kerr and Edward N. Wilson. The economic status of teachers. Rev Ed Res 37:312-22 Je'67.

*100. Worth, Walter H. Can administrators rate teachers? Can Admin 1:1-6 O'61.

C. Theses

1. Burch, Paul Roy. Relationship Between Ratings Given West Hartford Fifth Grade Teachers and Growth of Their Pupils. Doctoral thesis, University of Connecticut, 1964. 116 p. DA 25:4488-89, No. 8, 1965.

2. Carpenter, Henry T. A Study of the Relationship of Merit Salary Schedules to the Morale of the Teaching Staff in Eleven Selected School Systems. Doctoral thesis, New York University, 1959.

3. Gibson, Robert Carl. The Influence of the Planning Processes Upon the Success of Merit Salaries for Teachers. Doctoral thesis, University of Virginia, 1960. DA 1107.

*4. Guy, William Alexander. A History and Criticism of Merit Rating. M. A. thesis, Saint Mary's University, 1960. 113 p.

5. Johnson, Leonard Edward. An Evaluation of the Career Salary Plan of West Hartford, Connecticut. Doctoral thesis, Columbia University, 1963. 230 p. DA 24:2755, No. 7, 1964.

6. Karam, Irvin Albert. Merit-Rating Salary Plans in Public School Systems of the United States, 1955-56. Doctoral thesis, Temple University, 1958. DA 574.

7. Keeney, James Lee. A Study of the Factors Involved in the Development and Establishment of Merit Rating Programs in Public School Systems. Doctoral thesis, University of Tennessee, 1958. DA 1272.

8. Koplyay, Janos B. Relationships Between Teachers' Morale, Organizational Climate, and School Salary Policies in Selected Suburban Schools. Doctoral thesis, Northwestern University, 1966.

9. Markarian, Robert Euth. Merit Rating in the Teaching Profession, What It Is and What Can Be Done About It. Doctoral thesis, University of Connecticut, 1959. DA 1244.

10. McDowell, S. The Merit Rating Controversy and Its Implications for Education in Saskatchewan. M. Ed. thesis, University of Saskatchewan, 1963. 122 p.

11. McKenna, John Joseph Jr. A Merit Salary Policy for a Public School District. Doctoral thesis, New York University, 1959. DA 578.

12. McPhail, James Harold. Classroom Teachers' Amenability Toward Additional Factors Upon Which to Base Teachers' Salaries in Mississippi. Doctoral thesis, Boston University School of Education, 1963. DA 24:4477-78, Nov 11, 1964.

13. Miller, Jud Lester. A Survey of Teacher Merit Rating in Selected Midwest States. Doctoral thesis, University of Nebraska, 1964. DA 3363.

14. Mitchell, Jerry Burnett. Merit Rating of Teacher Personnel. Doctoral thesis, University of Nebraska, 1960. DA 2177.

15. Nichols, L. A Study of the Methods Used in Canadian Urban School Systems to Evaluate the Efficiency of Elementary Teachers Employed in These Systems. M. Ed. thesis, University of Alberta, 1958. 251 p.

16. Rogers, Kenneth Gordon. An Empirical Study of the Criteria of Teacher Evaluation Employed by High School Principals in Alberta. M. Ed. thesis, University of Alberta, 1970.

17. Rometo, Arthur Frank. Attitudes of Teachers, Administrators, and School Directors of Pennsylvania Toward Merit Rating. Doctoral thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 1961. DA 3497.

18. Swain, Lorna Mullen. Merit Rating Plans for Teachers. Doctoral thesis, Stanford University, 1960. DA 1116.

19. Thomas, E. Barrington. An Examination of the Criteria of Teacher Evaluation Employed by High School Principals in Victoria, Australia. M. Ed. thesis, University of Alberta, 1969. 156 p.

20. Tuthill, Fred Andrew Jr. The Use of the Merit Rating Technique for Salary Purposes for Teachers in the Public Schools in the United States. Doctoral thesis, Cornell University, 1960. DA 113.

21. Zellner, Mary Louise. Florida's Career Increment Instruments as a Way of Locating and Rewarding Meritorious Teaching. Doctoral thesis, University of Florida at Gainesville, 1962. DA 3807.

BIBLIOGRAPHIES IN EDUCATION SERIES

No. 1	June 1969	Pre-Service Teacher Education in Canada (out of print)
No. 2	June 1969	School Dropouts (Addenda, February 1971)
No. 3	Oct. 1969	Flexible Scheduling in Secondary Schools (out of print)
No. 4	Nov. 1969	Computer Uses in Instructional Programs
No. 5	Dec. 1969	Microteaching
No. 6	Jan. 1970	Reporting to Parents
No. 7	Feb. 1970	Teacher Aides (included in no. 16)
No. 8	March 1970	Sex Education
No. 9	April 1970	Disadvantaged Children in Canada
No.10	June 1970	School Year Plans
No.11	Aug. 1970	Education Finance in Canada
No.12	Oct. 1970	Independent Study
No.13	Nov. 1970	Individualized Instruction (out of print)
No.14	Nov. 1970	Histories of Teachers' Associations in Canada
No.15	Dec. 1970	Differentiated Staffing (out of print)
No.16	Dec. 1970	Paraprofessional School Personnel
No.17	Jan. 1971	Open Area Schools
No.18	Feb. 1971	Parent Involvement in School Programs
No.19	March 1971	Behavioral Objectives in Education
No.20	May 1971	Teacher Workload
No.21	June 1971	Merit Rating